



---

Meeting Minutes  
Maryland Cybersecurity Council  
Subcommittee on Critical Infrastructure  
Thursday, October 11, 2018  
2:30 pm – 3:30 pm  
UMUC Administration Building  
Room 1001  
3501 University Boulevard East  
Hyattsville, Maryland

Attendance (6/14)

Subcommittee members attending: John Abeles, David Anyiwo, Colonel Mathew Dinmore, Cyril Draffin, Fred Hoover, Markus Rauschecker (for Professor Michael Greenberger, chair).

Staff: Dr. Greg von Lehmen (Staff, Maryland Cybersecurity Council) and Adam McCormick, Legal Intern, CHHS.

Meeting Summary

1. Old business.
  - a. The resource database. The subcommittee reviewed the status of the cybersecurity repository now accessible on the Council's website. The repository has grown as a result of recommendations of the CI subcommittee and other Council subcommittees. A new collection of resources will be added this fall. Recommendation: as the database expands, the subcommittee should review the search tools, tagging and descriptions of the resources to ensure ease of use by businesses and consumers.
  - b. Information sharing entity for Maryland. The subcommittee continues to believe such an entity is an essential piece in the cybersecurity strategy for the state. The members were updated on DHS efforts in this area and related discussions within other subcommittee of the Council.
  - c. Election security. The subcommittee was reminded of SB 218 (2018) that added SBE representation to the Council and of other legislation establishing a pilot for conducting hand-count audits of elections.
2. New business.
  - a. CI provider needs. The subcommittee discussed meeting with various CI representatives to learn their challenges first-hand and their suggestions for ways in which the state might support them in addressing those challenges. The members also discussed how providers might be identified and how the visits should be organized.

- b. Legislative matters. A number of subcommittee members expressed an interest as subject matter experts in working with state legislators and their committees on cybersecurity bills. They welcomed being involved before the session to permit more time to inform such bills and to identify panelists who later could provide helpful testimony to the committees.
3. Adjourn. There being no other business, the subcommittee adjourned at 3:30 pm.